



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/003,386                      | 10/30/2001  | Mun-Mo Jeong         | 9898-188              | 5352             |
| 7590                            | 06/30/2004  |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |
| MARGER JONHSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. |             |                      | GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A |                  |
| 1030 S.W. Morrison Street       |             |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Portland, OR 97205              |             |                      | 2811                  |                  |

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                                  |                  |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | Application No.                  | Applicant(s)     |
|                              | 10/003,386                       | JEONG, MUN-MO    |
|                              | Examiner<br>Samuel A Gebremariam | Art Unit<br>2811 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-13,21,22,26 and 27 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-13,21,22,26 and 27 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12-13, 21-22 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted prior art in view of Urano JP patent No. 11077507.

Regarding claim 1, admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a method for manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising: forming plural interconnection layers (14) each including a capping layer (16) layer, the capping layer defining a contact resistance and on a semiconductor substrate (10); forming an interlayer insulating layer (18) overlying the interconnection layer (14); wherein the thickness of a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on one of the capping layer is different from the thickness of a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on the others; etching the interlayer insulating layer (18) to form first contact holes therein.

Admitted prior art further teaches (fig. 1) the patterning of the ILD layer (12) the interconnection layer (14) for interconnection layer and the capping layer (16) for capping before forming the contact holes (20a), (20b) and (20c). Therefore admitted prior art inherently teaches the limitation of the interconnection layer, the capping layer, and the etching stopper are formed by sequentially depositing material layers, and patterning the first material layer using the patterned third layer.

Admitted prior art does not teach the thickness of a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on one of the etching stoppers is different from the thickness of a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on the others; stopping etching when a top surface of each etching stopper is exposed; removing a portion of each etching stopper exposed by first contact holes, thereby forming second contact holes and leaving the capping layers of the plural interconnection layers at substantially the same thickness such that the contact resistance of the plural interconnection layers are substantially uniform; and forming a conductive layer within the second contact holes.

Urano teaches (figs. a-e) the use of TiN layer (capping layer 2) and an etch stop layer (8) to form contact holes through insulation layer with different thickness (see abstract) and forming a conductive layer (6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the etch stop layer taught by Urano in the process of admitted prior art in order to form contact holes through insulation layer with different thickness.

The combined process of admitted prior art and Urano inherently forms second contact holes and leaves the capping layers of the plural interconnection layers at substantially the same thickness such that the contact resistance of the plural interconnection layers are substantially uniform.

Regarding claim 2, admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including forming third contact holes (hole formed in layer 16) by slightly etching a portion of the capping layer (16) exposed by the second

contact holes before forming the conductive layer, and wherein the conductive layer is formed within the second contact holes and the third contact holes.

The combined process of admitted prior art and Urano inherently forms third contact holes by slightly etching a portion of the capping layer exposed by the second contact holes before forming the conductive layer, and wherein the conductive layer is formed within the second contact holes and the third contact holes.

Regarding claim 4, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the conductive layer (6) is an upper interconnection layer filling the second and third contact holes and covering the top surface of the interlayer-insulating layer (fig. e, Urano).

Regarding claim 5, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the second and third contact holes are formed by performing a dry etching method, using an etchant having a low etching selectivity between the etching stopper and the capping layer.

Since the combined process of admitted prior art and Urano is the same as the claimed process of the claimed invention and also since the layers of the combined structure of admitted prior art are the same as the claimed structure, the etchant would have a low etching selectivity between the etching stopper and the capping layer as claimed.

Regarding claim 6, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the etching stopper is formed of a nitride layer (8).

TiN nitride is a well-known anti-reflecting layer. Since Urano generally states that a nitride layer can be used as etching stopper layer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use TiN as an etch stop layer.

Regarding claim 7, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the interconnection layer (6) is a metal layer containing aluminum (fig. e, Urano).

Regarding claim 8, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the capping layer (16) is formed of TiN (fig. 1, admitted prior art).

Regarding claim 9, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the interlayer-insulating layer is formed of silicon oxide layer (3) (col. 6, line 26).

Regarding claim 12, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the conductive layer is an upper interconnection layer filling the second contact hole and covering the top surface of the interlayer insulating layer (3) (fig. e, Urano).

Regarding claim 13, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the first contact hole is formed by using a dry etching method (col. 6, lines 33-34, Urano).

Regarding claim 21, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the capping layers are etched to form uniform thickness between the second contact holes.

Regarding claim 22, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above including the second contact holes expose a top surface of the capping layers.

Regarding claim 26, admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a method for manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising: forming plural interconnection layers (14) each including a capping layer (16) layer, the capping layer defining a contact resistance and on a semiconductor substrate (10); forming an interlayer insulating layer (18) overlying the interconnection layer (14); wherein the thickness of a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on one of the capping layer is different from the thickness of a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on the others; first etching the interlayer insulating layer (18) to form first contact holes therein.

Admitted prior art further teaches (fig. 1) the patterning of the ILD layer (12) the interconnection layer (14) for interconnection and the capping layer for capping (16) before forming the contact holes (20a), (20b) and (20c). Therefore admitted prior art inherently teaches the limitation of the interconnection layer, the capping layer, and the etching stopper are formed by sequentially depositing material layers, and patterning the first material layer using the second patterned layer.

Admitted prior art does not teach the thickness of a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on one of the etching stoppers is different from the thickness of a portion

of the interlayer insulating layer on the others; second etching a portion of each etching stopper exposed by the first contact holes, using a second etchant having a low etching selectivity between the etching stopper and the capping layer thereby forming second contact holes; and forming a conductive layer within the second contact holes.

Urano teaches (figs. a-e) the use of TiN layer (capping layer 2) and an etch stop layer (8) to form contact holes through insulation layer with different thickness (see abstract) and forming a conductive layer (6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the etch stop layer taught by Urano in the process of admitted prior art in order to form contact holes through insulation layer with different thickness.

Since the combined process of admitted prior art and Urano is the same as the claimed process and also since the layers of the combined structure of admitted prior art are the same as the claimed structure, the first etchant would have a high etching selectivity between the etching stopper and the interlayer insulating film and the second etchant would have a low etching selectivity between the etching stopper and the capping layer as claimed.

Regarding claim 27, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 26 above including stopping etching when a top surface of each etching stopper is exposed.

The claimed limitation above is an inherent property of an etch stop layer. Therefore admitted prior art inherently have the above claimed limitation.

3. Claims 3 and 11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted prior art and Urano in view of Bost et al. US patent No. 5,231,053.

Regarding claim 3, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above except explicitly stating that the conductive layer is formed only in the second and third contact holes.

Bost teaches (fig. 6 and 7) forming contact hole plug in contact hole (38), by etching back the blanket deposited plug material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the process of etching back the plug material taught by Bost in the process of admitted prior in order to ease subsequent metallization process.

Regarding claim 11, admitted prior art teaches substantially the entire claimed method of claim 1 above except explicitly stating that the conductive layer is formed only in the second contact hole.

Bost teaches (fig. 6 and 7) forming contact hole plug in contact hole (38), by etching back the blanket deposited plug material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the process of etching back the plug material in the process of admitted prior in order to ease subsequent metallization process.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-13, 21-22 and 26-27 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that none of the cited

reference either alone or in combination teach the limitation the interconnection layer, the capping layer, and the etching stopper are formed by sequentially depositing a first material for interconnection, a second material layer for capping and a third material layer for stopping etching, patterning the third material and then patterning the second and the first material layers using the third material layer. The combined process of admitted prior art and Urano teaches the above limitation inherently, because the process of forming contact holes inherently involves sequential patterning of material layers. In the instant case it involves the formation interconnection layer, capping layer and etching stopper layer and using the etching stopper layer as mask patterning the capping layer and the interconnection layer is taught by the combined process of admitted prior art and Urano.

### ***Conclusion***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Admassu Gebremariam whose telephone number is 703 305 1913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Samuel Admassu Gebremariam  
June 28, 2004



Samuel Admassu Gebremariam  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800